## Farm estate in the Panopolite nome

Place Date Language Material Number of texts Type Collections Panopolites AD 200 - 250 Greek Ostracon 63 + 5 unpublished

Bookkeeping archive with orders for delivery, receipts, registrations of outgoing products former collection Michaelides (Cairo)

[find/acquisition]

## **Bibliography**

Find/Acquisition

D.S. CRAWFORD, P.Michaël. 63-124, introduction.

## Description

The collection of Mr. G.A. Michaïlidis of Cairo contained a homogeneous group of ostraca which have been purchased together and originate from a single site, i.e. Achmim. 62 are published in *P.Michael*. 63-124 $^{1}$ ; 5 are still unpublished. These are now, together with **79**, **83**, **97**, **98**, **103**, **107**, **108**, **111**, **115**, **117**, **120** and **123** in a private Egyptian collection. The other ostraca are scattered among several museums and institutes; e.g. **92** and **118** have been acquired by the University of Milan. Gallazzi established that O.Palau Rib. 1 (Barcelona), also belongs to this group (= SB XVI 12984) $^{2}$ .

According to their formula, three types of documents, similar to those in *O.Edfa* (= SB X 10990), found in Edfa near Achmim, can be distinguished among this bookkeeping archive, which is clearly pertained to a single estate:

- (1) By far the largest group are orders for delivery (cf. the imperative  $\delta \delta S$ ), authorizing the issue of farm produce (wheat, lentils and arax) to various people and for various purposes (63-78, 80-84, 86-96, 98-101, 104-115 and SB XVI 12984).
- (2) 123 and 124 and maybe 122 are receipts or accounts of goods (cf.  $\xi \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \nu$  in 123).
- (3) **79**, **85**, **97** and **103** do not have any verb. These are registrations of outgoing farm produce. All have been issued in Mesore, except for **85**, which does not indicate a month. **116-121** are incomplete.

D.S Crawford suggests a date in the first half or middle of the  $3^{rd}$  century. He distinguishes five groups of handwritings, indicated by the letters (A) to (E). These groups indicate similar, but not necessarily identical hands, for it is not always clear whether two ostraca are written by the same person or not, due to the nature of the material<sup>3</sup>. Ostraca written in hand A were issued in all months, except Choiak and Pachon. Hand E does not indicate a month name. Probably the collection belongs to a single year, and was thrown away together. *P.Michael*. 125 was purchased among this group of ostraca and may have come from Achmim: it is similar in content and in the use of  $\dot{\alpha}\rho\tau\dot{\alpha}\beta\eta$  for  $\dot{\alpha}\rho\tau\dot{\alpha}\beta\eta\nu$  but the writing is not the same.

Except for a gift to a priest (87), deliveries for use in the household (110-113) and for sale (106-109), the issues are wages to either people employed on the estate (63-78: shepherds, cowherds, gardeners, gooseherd and bakers), or to persons who had been hired for their services ( $\pi\epsilon\rho$ \(\text{\text{\$\gamma}}\epsilon\text{\$\gamma}(\text{\$\gamma}\text{\$\pi})\) (84), a *capsarius* (85), for vintage (\$\epsilon\$\is \text{\$\text{\$\gamma}}\text{\$\gamma}\text{\$\pi}\text{\$\gamma}\text{\$\pi})\) (115) and *kathemenitat*<sup>4</sup> (103-104). Others recipients are only mentioned by name (see table fig. 1). Sometimes the formula  $\pi\epsilon\rho$ \(\text{\$\gamma}\text{\$(=\beta\text{\$\pi}\text{\$\pi}\epsilon\text{\$\pi}\text{\$\p

The deliveries of lentils to feed the chickens (80-83), indicate that there were also poultry

farmers employed on the estate. Maybe there is a connection between this domain and the poultry farm in *O.Edfa* 1-58, for the latter collection of ostraca contains receipts issued by two poultry farmers of the metropolis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The numbers in bold refer to the number in *P.Michael*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> C. GALLAZZI, O.Palau Rib. 1 = SB X 10473: Un nuovo testo della serie P.Michael. 63-124, Stud.Pap. 22 (1983), pp.141-143. There have been other negotiations between Michaelidis and San Cugat; cf. S. BARTINA, Set o el horrendo y gruñidor jabali verrugoso, Stud.Pap. 6 (1967), pp.109-121.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> D.S. CRAWFORD, introduction to *P.Michael*. 63-124.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The *kathemenitai* were probably involved with horizontal weaving; cf. Z. BORKOWSKI, *P.Berl.Bork.*, note to III 20 (vs. N. LEWIS, *Cl.Ph.* 53 (1958), p. 43: squatter?). The seated position was considered in Antiquity as typical of this kind of profession. Moreover, Panopolis was famous for its tissues, as noticed by Strabo XVII 1.41.